## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/609,369	MIMA, TSUYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter K. Huntsinger	2625		

	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		oted claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13.  Other: Note the attached Interview Summary PTO-413.	1 1 0/00/00/1 aper 140(3).			
/David K Moore/	/Peter K. Huntsinger/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	Examiner, Art Unit 2625			
	,			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations to claims 10-12 would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant aruges on pages 8-9 of the response in essence that:

Parry '863 cannot be considered to correspond to "automatically setting, in accordance with an authentication by the authentication means, a destination for the original image of the document", since any destination that is set is manual.

Parry '863 discloses that the user is given the opportunity by means of various buttons and controls to make a selection of transmission of the document to another printer (Block 170 of Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-46). This can be considered automatically setting because the setting is facilitated via the user interface 40 without the user having to manually intiate the printing process of Fig. 2 again. Further, claim 1 requires manual input for reading an image on a document, and does not preclude user interaction.